Surgical Treatment of Acute Type A Aortic Dissection: In-Hospital Outcomes and Long-Term Follow-Up

RICARDO A. SPAMPINATO TORCIVIA, HERNÁN COHEN ARAZI^{MTSAC}, WALTER RODRÍGUEZ, MIGUEL SELLANES, MIGUEL CÁCERES, JAVIER CELADA, SILVINA WALDMAN, CARLOS NOJEK^{MTSAC}, HUGO GRANCELLI^{MTSAC}, CLAUDIO PENSA^{MTSAC}

Received: 01/15/2009 Accepted: 03/11/2009

Address for reprints:

Dr. Ricardo A. Spampinato Torcivia Departmento de Cardiología -FLENI Montañeses 2325 (1428) Buenos Aires, Argentina Fax: 5777-3209. Phone number: 5777-3200 extension 2440 e-mail: spampinatoricardo@gmail.com

SUMMARY

Background

Acute type A aortic dissection (TA-AAD) is an extremely severe condition that requires emergency surgery. In spite of advances in surgical techniques, the procedure still carries great morbidity and mortality rates.

Objectives

To analyze in-hospital morbidity and mortality and long-term survival of a consecutive series of patients undergoing surgery for TA-AAD.

Material and Methods

We included 63 consecutive patients (71% were men) in four health care centers in Buenos Aires from July 1994 to May 2007. Eighty nine percent of patients completed follow-up. Mean age was 63 ± 11.3 years. Aortic hemiarch was replaced in 15 patients and 5 patients received complete aortic replacement. Aortic valve replacement was performed in 12 patients.

Results

During hospitalization 19 patients (30.1%) died: one death occurred at the operation room, 7 patients died due to ischemic complications or multi organ failure, 3 patients died of neurological complications, 5 of cardiac complications, 1 of gastrointestinal bleeding and 2 deaths were a consequence of multiple complications. Twelve patients (32.4%) died during follow-up (8 cardiac deaths and 4 non cardiac deaths). Multivariate analysis detected that low cardiac output and cardiac bypass pump (CBP) duration were associated with greater in-hospital mortality rates, while age >70 years and lower CBP duration correlated with greater long-term mortality. Survival rates at 1, 3, 5 and 10 years were 89%, 79,5%, 73% and 58%, respectively.

Conclusions

Surgical results of TA-AAD in our environment are similar to those published in international series, confirming high in-hospital and long-term morbidity and mortality rates associated with this condition.

Rev Argent Cardiol 2009;77:108-114.

Key words > Aorta - Thoracic Surgery - Dissection

 Abbreviations >
 CPB
 Cardiopulmonary bypass
 PND
 Postoperative neurologic deficit

 CABGS
 Coronary artery bypass graft surgery
 DHCA Deep hypothermic circulatory arrest

 TA-AAD
 Type A acute aortic dissection
 LCOS
 Low cardiac output syndrome

BACKGROUND

Mortality rate of type A acute aortic dissection (TA-AAD) is 1% per hour by 24 hours after presentation, 29% by 48 hours, 44% by day 7, and 50% by 2 weeks. (1, 2) For this reason, urgent surgical intervention with the aim of resecting the region of intimal tear and replacement by a composite or interposition graft (if the aortic valves are intact or resuspendable) is still the best therapeutic strategy. In 20-30% of cases the intimal tear may extend to the aortic arch and, therefore, require an extended aortic replacement.

In spite of the advances in diagnostic (4, 15, 16) and surgical techniques (5-14), TA-AAD still carries great morbidity and mortality rates at short and long-term, with in-hospital mortality rates between 15%

and 35%, and 5-year survival rates of 65% to 75%. $(3,\,17,\,20)$

The aim of this study is to analyze in-hospital morbidity and mortality and long-term survival of a consecutive series of patients undergoing surgery for TA-AAD, and to detect the variables associated with short-term and long-term outcomes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients Selection

We conducted a retrospective analysis of 63 patients included in a database, who underwent surgery due to TA-AAD in 4 health centers in Buenos Aires from July 1994 to May 2007. The information was included in an ad-hoc database; follow-up was performed by telephone contact with patients or their relatives, and with their family physicians.

Type A acute aortic dissection was defined as any nontraumatic dissection involving the ascending aorta, presenting within 14 days from symptom onset. (2, 18, 19)

The majority of patients (71.4%) were men. The mean age was 63 ± 11.3 years; 21 patients (33.3%) were older than age 70 at the moment of surgery. Table 1 shows that hypertension was the most prevalent cardiovascular risk factor (89%) and 36.5% of patients had diabetes.

Chest pain was the most frequent symptom at admission in 95% of cases and only 4 patients had clinical signs of shock. The diagnosis of TA-AAD was made by transesophageal echocardiography in 48.4% of cases and by computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging and angiography in the remaining patients. Surgery was performed within 2 hours of hospitalization in 86.9% of patients.

Surgical technique

After median sternotomy, the patient was placed on cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB); arterial cannulation was performed via the following accesses: femoral artery (41.3%), right subclavian artery or right axillary artery (31.7%), aortic arch (12.7%), carotid artery (1.6%), or left ventricular apex (12.7%). Cannulation via the subclavian artery has been the access of choice since 1997. The extent of aortic replacement was decided considering the site of the intimal tear; every attempt was made to resect it. Deep hypothermic (16°) circulatory arrest (DHCA) was used for aortic arch replacement or distal open anastomosis. Brain protection was achieved via cerebral retrograde perfusion, and since 2004 antegrade cerebral perfusion is being used.

The intimal tear, or false lumen, reached the descending aorta or the abdominal aorta in 36 patients (57%). In 68% of patients, the intimal tear was limited to the ascending aorta, and this segment was replaced (Table 2). Fifteen patients required partial arch replacement and 5 total arch replacement. Aortic valve replacement was performed in 12 patients; 39 patients underwent aortic valve resuspension or aortic arch remodeling (Yacoub's resuspension technique was used in two patients), and 12 patients did not have any intervention in the aortic valve. In 7 patients undergoing aortic valve replacement a separate valve-graft was implanted, whereas 5 patients underwent valved conduit implant using the modified Bentall-DeBono procedure.

Eleven patients (17.5%) underwent coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABGS).

Mean CPB time was 155.4 ± 47.5 minutes and DHCA was 38.8 ± 25.6 minutes (Table 2).

Table 1. Preoperative characteristics

| Preoperative data, demographics and personal history $(n = 63)$ | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Variables | | | | | |
| Age (years)* | 63 ± 11.3 | | | | |
| Men (%) | 45 (71.4) | | | | |
| Symptoms > 24 hrs (%) | 8 (13) | | | | |
| Pain | 60 (95) | | | | |
| Syncope | 4 (6.3) | | | | |
| Mesenteric/limb ischemia | 13 (20.6) | | | | |
| Shock | 4 (6.3) | | | | |
| Hypertension (%) | 56 (89) | | | | |
| Diabetes | 23 (36.5) | | | | |
| Previous coronary artery disease | 9 (14) | | | | |
| Previous aortic disease | 3 (4.8) | | | | |
| Previous cardiovascular surgery | 6 (9.6) | | | | |
| Stroke | 2 (3) | | | | |
| Marfan syndrome | 2 (3) | | | | |

* Mean ± standard deviation.

Table 2. Intraoperative characteristics (n = 63)

| Variables | |
|--|--|
| Length of aortic dissection (%) | |
| Ascending aorta | 12 (19) |
| Aortic arch | 15 (23.8) |
| Descending aorta | 15 (23.8) |
| Abdominal aorta | 21 (33.4) |
| Length of aortic replacement (%)* | |
| Ascending aorta | 43 (68.3) |
| Hemiarch | 15 (23.8) |
| Ascending aorta + total arch | 5 (7.9) |
| Contained hematoma | 3 (5.3) |
| Pericardial effusion | 29 (46.8) |
| Cardiopulmonary bypass time (min) Myocardial ischemic time (min) Deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) (min) Minimal esophageal temperature (°C) | $155.4 \pm 47.5 \\97 \pm 39.6 \\38.8 \pm 25.6 \\16.7 \pm 2.9$ |
| Aortic valve (%) No surgical intervention Replacement Resuspension Concomitant CABGS (%) | 12 (19) 12 (19) 39 (62) 11 (17.5) |
| Any complication [n = 62] (%) ⁺ Reoperation Myocardial infarction PND LCOS Infection Renal failure | 47 (75.8) 6 (9.7) 9 (14.5) 12 (19.4) 14 (22.6) 28 (45.2) 36 (58) |

* Length of the aortic replacement according to the site of intimal tear. † One patient died during surgery.

CABGS: Coronary artery bypass graft surgery. LCOS: Low cardiac output syndrome. PND: Postoperative neurologic deficit.volumen minuto. DNP: Déficit neurológico posoperatorio.

Definitions

Low cardiac output syndrome (LCOS): postoperative hemodynamic state requiring the use of inotropic agents or ventricular assist device to maintain an adequate cardiac index (> 2.2 L/min/m^2); infection: the presence of any type of infection during the postoperative period; acute renal failure: increase in serum creatinine levels of at least 0.5 mg/dl or of more than 25% above basal values; postoperative neurologic deficit (PND): presence of new neurologic symptoms which may be transient or definitive, due to local or diffuse lesion.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation or range, and categorical variables as percentages. Univariate analysis was performed using chi square test and/ or two-tailed Fisher test for categorical variables and Student's *t* test for continuous variables. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. A multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed thereafter to identify independent risk factors associated with in-hospital mortality and long-term mortality (variables with unadjusted p value < 0.5 were included). Preoperative variables were incorporated first; significant variables were retained in the model. Then, perioperative variables were added. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate long-term survival. Survival percentages with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals in different periods are informed.

RESULTS

During hospitalization 19 patients (30.1%) died: one death occurred during the surgery, 7 patients died due to ischemic complications or multiorganic failure, 3 patients died of neurological complications, 5 of cardiac complications, 1 of gastrointestinal bleeding and 2 as a consequence of multiple complications.

A total of 75.8% of patients presented a complication during the postoperative period. The most frequent adverse events were renal failure (36 patients; 58%), infections (28 patients; 45%; none of them related to the surgical wound); LCOS (14 patients; 22.6%) and PND (12 patients; 19.4%).

Complete follow-up was achieved in 89% of the 44 patients (69.9%) discharged (median follow-up: 6.4 years: range 11 days to 11.7 years). Twelve patients (32.4%) died during long-term follow-up: (4 non cardiac deaths and 8 cardiac deaths, 4 of the latter were related with the aortic disease: 1 abdominal aorta rupture, 1 thoracic aorta rupture and 1 after the reoperation of the proximal aorta) (Figure 1).

Only 2 patients underwent reoperation of the proximal aorta. One of them died in the postoperative period.

Survival rates of patients discharged at 1, 3, 5 and 10 years were 89% (95% CI, 73-95), 79.5% (95% CI, 61-89), 72% (95% CI, 53-84) and 57.7% (95% CI, 36-74), respectively (Figure 2). In the entire group, survival at 1, 3, 5 and 10 years was 59.9% (95% CI, 45-71), 54% (95% CI, 39-65), 48.9% (95% CI, 34-61) and 38.8% (95% CI, 24-53), respectively.

Univariate analysis identified that the factors associated with in-hospital mortality were age, CBP

time, the presence of LCOS and of any postoperative complication; concomitant CABGS showed a statistical trend. Multivariate analysis detected LCOS and prolonged CPB time as independent variables.

Short CPB time was associated with long-term mortality at univariate analysis, while age, long cerebral perfusion time and pericardial effusion presented a statistical trend. Multivariate analysis identified age 70 years and short CBP time as independent variables (Tables 3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

Acute type A aortic dissection (TA-AAD) is an extremely severe condition that requires emergency surgery. In the IRAD registry (International Registry of Acute Dissection), 72% of 464 patients had TA-AAD. In patients managed surgically, in-hospital mortality was 26% versus 58% among those not receiving surgery. (2)



Fig. 1. Flow chart representing follow-up of patients, in-hospital mortality and long-term mortality.



Fig. 2. Curva de sobrevida durante el seguimiento (Kaplan-Meier) de los pacientes dados de alta.

Table 3. Univariate analysis ofin-hospital and long-term mortality

| Categorical variables | | IHM n dead / total Nº (%) | p | LTM n dead / total Nº (%) | р |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|-------|
| Age > 70 years | Yes No | 11/21 (52.4%) 8/42 (19%) | 0.01 | 5/9 (55.5%) 7/28 (25%) | 0.10 |
| Men Women | | 12/45 (26.7%) 7/18 (39%) | ns | 7/28 (25%) 5/9 (55.5%) | ns |
| Hypertension | Yes No | 18/56 (32%) 1/7 (14.3%) | ns | 10/32 (31%) 2/5 (40%) | ns |
| Diabetes | Yes No | 8/23 (34.8%) 11/40 (27.5%) | ns | 5/11 (45.5%) 7/26 (27%) | ns |
| Visceral or limb ischemia | Yes No | 6/13 (46%) 13/50 (26%) | ns | 2/6 (33%) 10/31 (32%) | ns |
| Pericardial effusion | Yes No | 9/29 (31%) 9/33 (27%) | ns | 8/16 (50%) 4/21 (19%) | 0.07 |
| CABGS | Yes No | 6/11 (54.5%) 13/52 (25%) | 0.07 | 1/2 (50%) 11/35 (31%) | ns |
| Aortic valve replacement | Yes No | 4/12 (33%) 15/51 (29.4%) | ns | 3/6 (50%) 9/31 (29%) | ns |
| Aortic valve resuspension | Yes No | 8/39 (20.5%) 11/24 (45.8%) | 0.04 | 8/26 (30.7%) 4/11 (36.3%) | ns |
| Preoperative shock | Yes No | 2/4 (50%) 17/59 (29%) | ns | 1/2 (50%) 1/35 (31%) | ns |
| Postoperative complication | Yes No | 17/47 (36%) 1/15 (7%) | 0.04 | 9/26 (34.6%) 3/11 (27%) | ns |
| Low cardiac output | Yes No | 10/14 (71%) 8/48 (17%) | < 0.001 | 2/4 (50%) 10/33 (30%) | ns |
| PND | Yes No | 4/12 (33.3%) 14/36 (28%) | ns | 1/7 (14%) 11/19 (37%) | ns |
| Continuous variables‡ | | ІНМ | р | LTM | р |
| CPB time (min) | dead alive | 181.6 ± 13.5 145 ± 6 | 0,015 | 120.4 ± 29 158 ± 42 | 0.009 |
| Circulatory arrest time (min) | dead alive | 34.4 ± 3 40.4 ± 4 | ns | 45 ± 4 41 ± 7 | ns |
| Cerebral perfusion (min) | dead alive | 33.9 ± 4 36 ± 3 | ns | 42.6 ± 12 36.4 ± 28 | 0.06 |

[±] Expressed as mean ± standard deviation. CABGS: Coronary artery bypass graft surgery. CPB: Cardiopulmonary bypass. IHM: In-hospital mortality. LTM: Long-term mortality. PND: Postoperative neurologic deficit.

Table 4. Multivariate analysisof in-hospital and long-termmortality

| IHM variables | Odds ratio | р | 95% CI |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Cardiopulmonary bypass time (min) | 1.03 ± 0.01 | 0.021 | 1.005 a 1.06 |
| Low cardiac output syndrome | 8.8±8 | 0.022 | 1.40 a 56.8 |
| | | | |
| LTM variables | Odds ratio | р | 95% CI |
| LTM variables Cardiopulmonary bypass time | Odds ratio 0.96 ± 0.01 | р 0.038 | 95% Cl 0.92 a 0.99 |

IHM: In-hospital mortality. LTM: Long-term mortality.

Despite improved diagnostic and therapeutic techniques and postoperative management, different groups have reported morbidity and mortality rates that vary from 15% to 35%. (3, 17, 20) In our experience, in-hospital mortality was 30.1%, similar to the one reported by the IRAD.

Among the preoperative predictive factors for mortality, most series have identified age, hypotension/shock/cardiac tamponade, previous heart disease or cardiovascular surgery, renal, mesenteric or myocardial ischemia, and migrating pain. Perioperative predictive factors include extended surgery (replacement of the ascending aorta, aortic ach and descending aorta versus ascending aorta and hemiarch replacement), hematoma, acute renal failure and neurologic deficit. (2, 17-20, 35)

In our series, although the age > 70 years was associated with in-hospital mortality (52.4% versus 19%; p = 0.01), CPB time and LCOS in the postoperative were the only variables independently related.

In our study, extended replacement of the aortic arch was not identified as an independent risk factor associated with in-hospital mortality. In their series of 124 surgeries, Ehrlich et al. (17) described that the site of the intimal tear did not correlate with the outcomes; however, they detected differences in mortality rates according to the extension of the aortic replacement: 43% when the resection included the descending aorta versus 14% when only the ascending aorta or the hemiarch were replaced. Nevertheless, we found that longer CPB time was an independent predictor. This might be attributed to the fact that complex surgical techniques are needed to repair proximal lesions or complicated intimal tears of the distal aorta, rather than to total replacement of the arch, to the presence of adhesions due to previous surgery, difficult hemostasis during surgery or need of concomitant surgery.

In fact, surgical therapies including CABGS had greater in-hospital mortality (54.5% versus 25%; p = 0.07), and this variable was an independent predictor of mortality during hospitalization in the studies by Kazui and Rampoldi (21, 29).

Postoperative complications have been associated with greater in-hospital mortality. Griepp et al. (5) found that the presence of a single complication in 71 out of 121 patients (58.6%) was associated with higher mortality (21% versus 2%; p = 0.002). In our series, 75% of patients had at least one postoperative complication, and mortality was greater among these patients (36% versus 7%; p = 0.04); LCOS was an independent predictor (71% versus 17%; p < 0.001) of mortality with almost a 9-fold increase in the risk compared to patients who were hemodynamically stable.

Postoperative neurologic deficits have been associated with increased morbidity and mortality. (20) The incidence of PND in the published literature ranges from 10% to 20%, with a value of 19.4% in our series. (17, 22, 23) Hagl et al. (24) suggest that focal PND are due to embolic events and therefore, they would not be related to the type of brain protection. Conversely, transient PND (such as psychomotor agitation, delusion, etc.) which is associated with brain protection, and lower long-term mortality, are less frequent with antegrade cerebral perfusion (20). We used cerebral retrograde perfusion from 1994 to 2004, and we have been using antegrade cerebral perfusion since 2004. However, we have not seen any significant differences in the incidence of neurologic events or in mortality since then due to the small number of interventions performed.

The small sample size might also explain the absence of the other predictors mentioned in international registries.

The wide variability in in-hospital mortality reported in the different series (15% - 35%) might be attributed to heterogeneity among the study populations. In our study, we found that mortality was 30.1% in an elder population with a high prevalence of diabetes compared to the majority of series that reported lower mortality. In our population, the mean age was 63 ± 11 years, and 36.5% had diabetes, while patients included in the series of Griepp et al. (5) had a mean age of 59 ± 14 years and only 4.8% were diabetics; mortality in this group was 15.3%. Lai et al. (25) and Martín et al. (20) reported mortality rates similar to Griepp; mean age was 56 ± 15 and 59 years, respectively; 7% of patients were diabetics. In contrast, the first 464 patients of the IRAD had a mean age of 61.2 ± 14 years and mortality of 26% (2); these findings are similar to our series. In the study by Rampoldi et al., (29) mean age was 59 ± 13.5 years in survivals versus 62.9 ± 14.3 years in patients who died (p < 0.01).

A recent review of the IRAD categorized 550 patients and reported that 32% were older than 70 years with a global mortality of 27%. Fewer elderly patients were managed surgically than younger patients (64% vs. 86%, p < 0.0001). In-hospital mortality was higher among older patients (38% vs. 23%, p = 0.003). (26) However, mortality was greater in patients managed surgically (52.5% vs. 38%). Among 319 patients in a study by Piccardo et al. (27), 23 patients were aged 80 years or older (7%). In this subgroup of patients inhospital mortality was 61% and survival was 39% \pm 10% and 33% \pm 10% after 1 and 5 years, respectively.

In our series, LCOS was more frequent in patients older than 70 years (45% versus 12%; p = 0.008). Surgery should not be contraindicated in this subgroup of patients; however, candidates with less comorbidities and risk of perioperative complications should be carefully selected. A less aggressive approach should increase the outcomes of surgically managed patients. Hata et al. (28) randomized 42 octogenarians undergoing emergency surgery for TA-AAD in two groups: classic surgery with deep hypothermic circulatory arrest and selective cerebral perfusion versus less invasive quick replacement. The latter technique consists on circulatory arrest with a rectal temperature of 28°C. As soon as the distal anastomosis is completed, rapid rewarming is initiated. The duration of CPB was shorter and in-hospital mortality was lower with the less invasive quick replacement.

In our study, 5-year survival after discharge was 72%, similar to the one reported by other studies (65%)to 84%). (17, 20, 22, 29, 30) Age > 70 years and short CPB time were identified as predictors of long-term mortality. Although a radical surgery of the proximal or distal aorta with resection of the intimal tear requires longer CP time with greater perioperative mortality rate, long-term mortality is lower due to reduced reoperation rate and long-term complications. The Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, at the Stanford University School of Medicine, reported reoperation rates of 30% in patients undergoing replacement of the ascending aorta without arch repair. (31)Total replacement of the aortic arch was associated with reduced distal reoperation (32) and did not seem to be related with increased operative risk. (33) Yet, in a series of 70 patients undergoing aortic arch replacement, 5-year survival was inversely related with the perioperative risk (85% versus 72%). (34)

According to what has been previously commented, one might assume that extended surgeries require higher CBP times for a better repair of the proximal aorta, in an attempt to preserve the aortic valve, and of the distal aorta in order to resect the intimal tear. However, these procedures, which are more likely to present periopertative complications with higher inhospital mortality, might improve long-term outcomes probably due to a reduced incidence of complications in the proximal and distal aorta.

In patients older and with more comorbidities, a less aggressive approach should be considered to achieve a shorter CPB time. The risk of extended surgeries overcomes the potential benefit, emphazising the need of an adequate stratification of patients in order to decide the most suitable technique in each patient.

Study Limitations

Our study is observational and retrospective. The number of patients is small due to the low incidence of this disease and thus limits the statistical power of the findings. As the surgical technique has been modified in the last years, the different periods are not completely comparable.

CONCLUSIONS

Surgical results of TA-AAD in our environment are similar to those published in international series, con-

firming high in-hospital and long-term morbidity and mortality rates associated with this condition

Postoperative complications are frequent, especially acute renal failure, infections and LCOS; the latter is an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality.

Extended surgeries with long CBP times are associated with greater in-hospital mortality but reduced long-term mortality. Data from ongoing multicenter and prospective studies will contribute to know the best surgical strategy and the relation between the type and extension of the surgery with long-term outcomes.

RESUMEN

Cirugía en la disección aórtica aguda tipo A: resultados hospitalarios y seguimiento alejado

Introducción

La disección aguda de la aorta tipo A (DAA-A) es una emergencia que requiere cirugía inmediata, debido al mal pronóstico de su evolución natural. A pesar del avance en las técnicas quirúrgicas, el procedimiento aún tiene una morbimortalidad elevada.

Objetivos

Analizar la morbimortalidad hospitalaria y la sobrevida alejada de una serie consecutiva de pacientes operados por DAA-A.

Material y métodos

Se incluyeron 63 pacientes consecutivos (el 71,4% eran hombres) en cuatro centros asistenciales de Buenos Aires desde julio de 1994 a mayo de 2007. El seguimiento se realizó en el 89% de los pacientes. La edad promedio fue de $63 \pm 11,3$ años. En 15 pacientes, el reemplazo se extendió hasta el hemiarco y en 5 se reemplazó el arco completo. La válvula aórtica se reemplazó en 12 pacientes.

Resultados

Durante la estadía hospitalaria fallecieron 19 pacientes (30,1%): un caso durante la cirugía, 7 por complicaciones isquémicas o falla multiorgánica, 3 por complicaciones neurológicas, 5 por complicaciones cardíacas, 1 por hemorragia digestiva y 2 pacientes a consecuencia de múltiples complicaciones. Durante el seguimiento fallecieron 12 pacientes (32,4%): 8 casos de causa cardiovascular y 4 de causa no cardíaca. El análisis multivariado detectó que las variables asociadas con mayor mortalidad hospitalaria fueron el bajo volumen minuto y el tiempo de circulación extracorpórea (CEC) prolongado, en tanto que las asociadas con mayor mortalidad alejada fueron la edad > 70 años y un tiempo menor de CEC. La sobrevida a 1, 3, 5 y 10 años fue del 89%, 79,5%, 73% y 58%, respectivamente.

Conclusiones

Los resultados del tratamiento quirúrgico de la DAA-A en nuestro medio pueden asimilarse a los obtenidos en series internacionales, lo que a su vez confirma la elevada morbimortalidad hospitalaria y alejada de esta entidad.

Palabras clave > Aorta - Cirugía torácica - Disección

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Nienaber CA, Eagle KA. Aortic dissection: new frontiers in diagnosis and management: Part I: from etiology to diagnostic strategies. Circulation 2003;108:628-35.

2. Hagan PG, Nienaber CA, Isselbacher EM, Bruckman D, Karavite DJ, Russman PL, et al. The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD): new insights into an old disease. JAMA 2000; 283:897-903.

3. Ince H, Nienaber CA. Management of acute aortic syndromes. Rev Esp Cardiol 2007;60:526-41.

4. Swan HJ, Ganz W, Forrester J, Marcus H, Diamond G, Chonette D. Catheterization of the heart in man with use of a flow-directed balloon-tipped catheter. N Engl J Med 1970;283:447-51.

5. Griepp RB, Stinson EB, Hollingsworth JF, Buehler D. Prosthetic replacement of the aortic arch. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1975; 70:1051-63.

6. Wolfe WG, Moran JF. The evolution of medical and surgical management of acute aortic dissection. Circulation 1977;56:503-5.

7. Boggs BR, Torchiana DF, Geffin GA, Titus JS, Redonnett BE, O'Keefe DD, et al. Optimal myocardial preservation with an acalcemic crystalloid cardioplegic solution. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1987;93:838-46.

8. Barner HB. Blood cardioplegia: a review and comparison with crystalloid cardioplegia. Ann Thorac Surg 1991;52:1354-67.

9. Fann JI, Smith JA, Miller DC, Mitchell RS, Moore KA, Grunkemeier G, et al. Surgical management of aortic dissection during a 30-year period. Circulation 1995;92:II113-21.

10. Bentall H, De Bono A. A technique for complete replacement of the ascending aorta. Thorax 1968;23:338-9.

11. David TE, Feindel CM. An aortic valve-sparing operation for patients with aortic incompetence and aneurysm of the ascending aorta. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1992;103:617-21.

12. Yacoub MH, Gehle P, Chandrasekaran V, Birks EJ, Child A, Radley-Smith R. Late results of a valve-preserving operation in patients with aneurysms of the ascending aorta and root. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1998;115:1080-90.

13. Griepp RB, Ergin MA, Lansman SL, Galla JD, Pogo G. The physiology of hypothermic circulatory arrest. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1991;3:188-93.

14. Borst HG, Walterbusch G, Schaps D. Extensive aortic replacement using "elephant trunk" prosthesis. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1983;31:37-40.

15. Cigarroa JE, Isselbacher EM, DeSanctis RW, Eagle KA. Diagnostic imaging in the evaluation of suspected aortic dissection. Old standards and new directions. N Engl J Med 1993;328:35-43.

16. Nienaber CA, Spielmann RP, von Kodolitsch Y, Siglow V, Piepho A, Jaup T, et al. Diagnosis of thoracic aortic dissection. Magnetic resonance imaging versus transesophageal echocardiography. Circulation 1992;85:434-47.

17. Ehrlich MP, Ergin MA, McCullough JN, Lansman SL, Galla JD, Bodian CA, et al. Results of immediate surgical treatment of all acute type A dissections. Circulation 2000;102:III248-52.

18. Daily PO, Trueblood HW, Stinson EB, Wuerflein RD, Shumway NE. Management of acute aortic dissections. Ann Thorac Surg 1970;10:237-47.

19. Ehrlich M, Fang WC, Grabenwöger M, Cartes-Zumelzu F, Wolner E, Havel M. Perioperative risk factors for mortality in patients with acute type A aortic dissection. Circulation 1998; 98:294-8.

20. Martín CE, Forteza A, Pérez E, López MJ, Centeno J, Blázquez JA, et al. Predictors of mortality and reoperation in acute type-a aor-

tic dissection surgery: 18 years of experience. Rev Esp Cardiol 2008; 61:1050-60.

21. Kazui T, Washiyama N, Muhammad BA, Terada H, Yamashita K, Takinami M, et al. Extended total arch replacement for acute type a aortic dissection: experience with seventy patients. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2000;119:558-65.

22. Zierer A, Moon MR, Melby SJ, Moazami N, Lawton JS, Kouchoukos NT, et al. Impact of perfusion strategy on neurologic recovery in acute type A aortic dissection. Ann Thorac Surg 2007;83:2122-8.

23. Sinatra R, Melina G, Pulitani I, Fiorani B, Ruvolo G, Marino B. Emergency operation for acute type A aortic dissection: neurologic complications and early mortality. Ann Thorac Surg 2001;71:33-8.

24. Hagl C, Ergin MA, Galla JD, Lansman SL, McCullough JN, Spielvogel D, et al. Neurologic outcome after ascending aorta-aortic arch operations: effect of brain protection technique in high-risk patients. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2001;121:1107-21.

25. Lai DT, Miller DC, Mitchell RS, Oyer PE, Moore KA, Robbins RC, et al. Acute type A aortic dissection complicated by aortic regurgitation: composite valve graft versus separate valve graft versus conservative valve repair. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2003;126:1978-86.
26. Mehta RH, O'Gara PT, Bossone E, Nienaber CA, Myrmel T, Cooper JV, et al; International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD) Investigators. Acute type A aortic dissection in the elderly: clinical characteristics, management, and outcomes in the current era. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:685-92.

27. Piccardo A, Regesta T, Pansini S, Concistrè G, Dell'aquila A, Scarano F, et al. Should octogenarians be denied access to surgery for acute type A aortic dissection? J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino). 2008;24 [Epub ahead of print].

28. Hata M, Suzuki M, Sezai A, Niino T, Unosawa S, Furukawa N, et al. Less invasive quick replacement for octogenarians with type A acute aortic dissection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2008;136:489-93.

29. Rampoldi V, Trimarchi S, Eagle KA, Nienaber CA, Oh JK, Bossone E, et al; International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD) Investigators. Simple risk models to predict surgical mortality in acute type A aortic dissection: the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection score. Ann Thorac Surg 2007;83:55-61.

30. Tan ME, Morshuis WJ, Dossche KM, Kelder JC, Waanders FG, Schepens MA. Long-term results after 27 years of surgical treatment of acute type a aortic dissection. Ann Thorac Surg 2005;80:523-9.

31. Yun KL, Glower DD, Miller DC, Fann JI, Mitchell RS, White WD, et al. Aortic dissection resulting from tear of transverse arch: is concomitant arch repair warranted? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1991;102:355-68.

32. Pugliese P, Pessotto R, Santini F, Montalbano G, Luciani GB, Mazzucco A. Risk of late reoperations in patients with acute type A aortic dissection: impact of a more radical surgical approach. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 1998;13:576-80.

33. Moon MR, Sundt TM 3rd, Pasque MK, Barner HB, Huddleston CB, Damiano RJ Jr, et al. Does the extent of proximal or distal resection influence outcome for type A dissections? Ann Thorac Surg 2001;71:1244-9.

34. Kazui T, Washiyama N, Muhammad BA, Terada H, Yamashita K, Takinami M, et al. Extended total arch replacement for acute type a aortic dissection: experience with seventy patients. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2000;119:558-65.

35. Mehta RH, Suzuki T, Hagan PG, Bossone E, Gilon D, Llovet A, et al; International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD) Investigators. Predicting death in patients with acute type a aortic dissection. Circulation 2002;105:200-6.