OFFICE IC AR National Heart Failure Registry

INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

Chronic heart failure affects approximately 2-3% of the general population, but more than 10% of people older than 70 years. It is the final common pathway of most heart diseases not treated adequately, (1-3) and a medical condition that affects prognosis and places a significant burden on the health system. Related morbidity and mortality is high and increases with age. (4,5) Hospitalization periods represent only a small, albeit important, fraction of the patient's life, because it corresponds to the most serious and life-threatening stages. Registries of acute decompensated heart failure have been carried out in different countries and contexts. (6-10) From an economic point of view, hospitalizations determine most of direct and indirect costs. However, most of the patient's life is spent on an outpatient basis, so daily behavior, adherence to recommended standards, rapid access to health care services and adequate physician work are the most responsible determinants of evolution when it comes to preventing hospitalizations.

Knowing the different contemporary patterns of chronic ambulatory heart failure, the diagnostic methods and treatments, patient prognosis, and the barriers that stand in the way of the best treatment, is the first necessary step to improve the clinical course of the disease and make right decisions in relation to the allocation of resources.

Different chronic heart failure registries have been published around the world, but it is clear that local realities differ. (11) The latest Chronic Heart Failure Registries in Argentina were made 6 to 9 years ago. None of them has been truly representative of the Argentine reality, since the geographical area covered by the two main scientific societies (the Argentine Society of Cardiology, SAC and the Argentine Federation of Cardiology, FAC, each one responsible for one of them) is different. (12,13)

In recent years guidelines have changed and new treatments are in use. Our purpose is to describe adherence to local and international guidelines and define the reasons for non-adherence. (14-17)

METHODS

Participants:

• **Physicians:** cardiologists divided equally between both SAC and FAC Scientific Societies, selected from the registries of both institutions, in a number proportional to the population of each province. Physicians' age, gender, year of graduation, specialty and point of care data will be collected.

Each physician will provide data on 7 to 10 outpatients (see below) completing an individual file for each of them.

• **Patients**, with a history of chronic heart failure diagnosed by the presence of signs, symptoms and identification of structural or functional heart abnormality through some study (preferably, but not exclusively, echocardiogram, or possibly ECG, laboratory, etc.), with at least 6-month evolution, followed up by the same physicians for at least 3 visits, and free from hospitalization for heart failure in at least the last 3 months.

Patients must sign an informed consent before being included in the Registry.

Data on gender, age, geographical area, socioeconomic and educational status, medical coverage, medical history (heart failure, other relevant cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular diseases), physical examination, ECG, chest X-ray, laboratory tests, echocardiogram and other complementary studies, etiology, and pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment will be collected (see file and instructions).

Special emphasis will be placed on questioning the reasons why patients are not subjected to certain studies or do not receive a certain treatment recommended by the guidelines in specific situations or conditions. It will also be possible to define the presence of indications outside the guidelines.

Patients will be followed up in person or by telephone contact every 6 months until completing at least 1 year of follow-up since inclusion, recording data on death, hospitalization due to heart failure or other causes.

Objectives

Primary

To describe a broad and comprehensive picture of chronic heart failure in Argentina, including patients' characteristics, use of diagnostic and therapeutic resources, adherence to practice guidelines, and prognosis.

Secondary

To describe use of diagnostic and therapeutic resource patterns according to baseline characteristics of patients and physicians.

To define mid-term (6 months) and long-term (at least 1 year) prognosis, according to baseline characteristics of patients and physicians.

Methodology

- a) Selection of cardiologists equally distributed between SAC and FAC in proportional numbers to the population of each province and CABA
- b) Data will be collected on an electronic platform to which the participating physicians will access via a password provided to them.
- c) Data transferred to a centralized database will be verified and analyzed in accordance with the objectives of the protocol.

- d) The leaders of each district of each Scientific Society will be in charge of coordinating the actions of the physicians in their district and of maintaining initial contact with them.
- e) Members of the Heart Failure Councils of both Cardiological Societies will be in charge of periodic folloe-up and control of individual registry completeness.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables will be presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range. Means will be compared using Student's t test or ANOVA, and medians with the Wilcoxon or Kruskal Wallis tests. Qualitative variables will be presented as percentages and will be compared with the chi square test or Fisher test.

The association of predictive variables with the dependent variable will be expressed with odds ratio (OR) and its corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Event-free survival will be analyzed with a Cox proportional hazards model, and the association of each variable with the outcome will be expressed as hazard ratio (HR) with its corresponding 95% CI. In all cases, the independent association of each variable with the dependent variable will be explored by multivariate analysis.

REFERENCES

1. Mosterd A, Hoes AW. Clinical epidemiology of heart failure. Heart 2007;93:1137-46.

2. Krum H, Gilbert RE. Demographics and concomitant disorders in heart failure. Lancet 2003;362:147-58.

3. Strait JB, Lakatta EG. Aging-associated cardiovascular changes and their relationship to heart failure. Heart Fail Clin 2012;8:143-64.

4. Stewart S, MacIntyre K, Hole DJ, Capewell S, McMurray JJ. More 'malignant' than cancer? Five-year survival following a first admission for heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail 2001;3:315-22

5. Levy D, Kenchaiah S, Larson MG, et al. Long-term trends in the incidence of and survival with heart failure. N Engl J Med 2002;347:1397-402

6. Nieminen MS, Brutsaert D, Dickstein K, et al. EuroHeart Failure Survey II (EHFS II): a survey on hospitalized acute heart failure patients: description of population. Eur Heart J 2006;27:2725-36

7. Adams KF, Jr., Fonarow GC, Emerman CL, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of patients hospitalized for heart failure in the United States: rationale, design, and preliminary observations from the first 100,000 cases in the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE). Am Heart J 2005;149:209-16.

8. Thierer J, Iglesias D, Ferrante D, y col. Registro Nacional de Internación por Insuficiencia Cardíaca. Factores responsables, evolución hospitalaria y predictores de mortalidad. Rev Argent Cardiol 2002;70:261-273.

9. Rizzo M, Thierer J, Francesia A, y col. Registro Nacional de Internación por Insuficiencia Cardíaca 2002-2003. Rev Argent Cardiol 2004;72:333-40.

10. Fairman E, Thierer J, Rodríguez L, y col. Registro Nacional de internación por Insuficiencia Cardíaca 2007. Rev Argent Cardiol. 2009; 77:33-39.

11. McDonagh TA, Holmer S, Raymond I, Luchner A, Hildebrant P, Dargie HJ. NT-proBNP and the diagnosis of heart failure: a pooled analysis of three European epidemiological studies. Eur J Heart Fail 2004;6:269-73.

12. Perna ER, Cimbaro Canella JP, Diez F, y col. Caracterización de la insuficiencia cardíaca crónica en la Argentina. Resultados finales del registro Hospical II. Rev Fed Argen Cardiol 2009; 38(Supl 1):12.

13. Thierer J, Belziti C, Francesia A, y col. Manejo ambulatorio de la insuficiencia cardíaca crónica en la Argentina: Estudio OFFICE IC. Rev Argent Cardiol 2006;74:109-116.

14. Consejo de Insuficiencia Cardíaca e Hipertensión Pulmonar Dr Rúl Oliveri, SAC. Consenso de insuficiencia cardíaca crónica. Rev Argent Cardiol 2016;84:supl 3

15. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J 2016;37:2129-2200.

16. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. Circulation 2013;128:e240-327.

17. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update on New Pharmacological Therapy for Heart Failure: An Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America Developed in Collaboration With the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. Circulation 2013;134:e282-293

INSTRUCTIONS

Variables to consider

Personal data

- 1. **Code**: it consists of three 2-digit consecutive numbers: the one corresponding to the district number within the society, that of the physician within the district and the correlative number corresponding to the patient (for each physician between a minimum of 7 and a maximum of 10).
- 2. Initials: of the first name and first surname if this were a double surname (thus, there are 2 initials per patient)
- 3. **Dates** of visit, birth and follow-up: two numbers for day and month, four for year
- 4. Endemic Chagas zone: it refers to the zone where the patient lives or has lived
- 5. Educational level: illiterate if he/she does not read and write. The maximum educational status is considered, even if it has not been completed

- 6. Worker: usually performed activity. Unemployed: if that condition has been maintained for at least the last 2 months
- 7. **Properties**: if the patient owns one or both described goods
- 8. **Medical coverage**: it is considered Private if the patient covers health costs personally, with no medical coverage. None: includes the patient who having no medical coverage is unable to cover the costs of medications, etc.

Cardiovascular history

- 9. **Smoking**: is considered yes, when the patient is currently a smoker; no, when he he/she has never smoked regularly and ex, id he/she has quitted smoking for at least 1 year
- 10. **Diabetes**: when fasting blood sugar >126 mg/dL, oral glucose tolerance test >200 mg/dL at 2 hours or glycated hemoglobin >6.4%, or glucose-lowering treatment established for that diagnosis
- 11. Hypertension: when SBP>140 mmHg and/or DBP >90 mmHg, or pharmacological treatment established for that diagnosis
- 12. Coronary heart disease history of angina. AMI, angioplasty or surgery
- 13. Valve disease history: Data is collected only on moderate to more severe valve diseases, with severity diagnosed by complementary methods, or hemodynamic or clinical involvement. More than one may be marked
- 14. Valvular surgery: history of valve repair or mechanical or biological valve replacement
- 15. Peripheral artery disease: of neck or lower limb vessels, clinically evidenced by imaging studies or history of angioplasty or surgery.

Non-cardiovascular history

- 16. Stroke, TIA, dementia or depression: history of diagnosis made by neurologist or psychiatrist
- 17. **Thyroid dysfunction**: laboratory-confirmed diagnosis, with substitution treatment in case of hypothyroidism or use of ant-thyroid drugs in case of hyperthyroidism
- 18. COPD, asthma: spirometry-confirmed diagnosis, or use of specific drugs for its treatment (bronchodilators, etc.)
- 19. Kidney failure: defined by creatinine clearance <60 mL/min
- 20. Cancer, chemotherapy: current or past.

Heart failure

- 21. Usual functional class: according to NYHA
- 22. Evolution time: in months since initial diagnosis
- 23. Prior hospitalization for HF: since the diagnosis, at any time during the evolution.

Number of hospitalizations

It refers to the last 12 months, due to heart failure, other cardiovascular causes (excluding those due to programmed procedures), or non-cardiovascular causes (excluding those due to programmed procedures). In all cases, a zero should be put if there has been none.

Physical examination

- 24. Blood pressure: in mmHg
- 25. Heart rate: in beats/minute
- 26. **Congestion**: when on admission at least two of the following signs are recorded: rales, jugular engorgement without collapse or with partial inspiratory collapse, edemas, hepatomegaly, positive hepatojugular reflux, third heart sound.
- 27. **Hypoperfusion**: when on admission two of the following signs are recorded: symptomatic arterial hypotension, palleness, piloerection, obnubilation, coldness, cyanosis.
- 28. Weight: in kg
- 29. Height: in m

ECG: must be from the las 12 months

- 30. **Rhythm**: sinus, AF, atrial flutter. If rhythm is given by a pacemaker but there is underlying AF or atrial flutter, indicate both conditions
- 31. PR and QRS width: in ms
- 32. Pathological Q: when it is present in at least 2 contiguous leads, with at least 40 ms width, and amplitude \geq 40% of the following R
- 33. Left ventricular hypertrophy: if Sokolow index ≥35 mm, or other characteristic ECG sign
- 34. **Conduction disorders**: Right and left bundle branch block in the presence of characteristic pattern, with QRS width ≥120 msec. If the right bundle branch block coexists with left anterior hemiblock, indicate both conditions.

Chest X-ray:

From the last 6 months. It is not essential to have a chest X-ray, as only its rate of use in ambulatory practice is tried to be assessed.

Laboratory:

Must be from the last 6 months. Report available data. Each assessment value should be completed with the corresponding unit. Non-available measurements should be reported as NO. In the specific case of Chagas serology, clarify if it was not performed, or having been performed, whether it was negative or positive by one or two tests.

Echocardiogram:

Report the last available one. In case of hospital admission, the one performed during hospitalization should not be considered.

- 35. LV function: preserved, mild, moderate or severe impairment: when functional assessment is qualitative and no EF data is available
- 36. Segmental disorders: present or absent
- 37. Left atrial dilation: if reported as such, or as diameter >40 mm or surface >20 cm2.
- 38. Left ventricular hypertrophy: if reported as such, or if the septum and posterior wall measure more than 11 mm, or if left ventricular mass is above the reference value
- 39. **Diastolic dysfunction**: Mild if reported as such, or as E/A ratio <1 or as abnormal relaxation or prolonged relaxation; moderate, if reported as such, or as E/A ratio 1-2 or as pseudonormal pattern; and severe if reported as such, or as E/A ratio >2 or as restrictive pattern
- 40. Shortening fraction and ejection fraction

Other studies performed in the last 2 years:

Answer yes or no to each option. Answer if there is a study conclusively confirming or excluding the presence of coronary heart disease, even though its data is over 2 years old.

Etiological diagnosis

Two options can be marked, but it is strongly suggested to choose only one.

- 41. Ischemic: in case of confirmed history of coronary heart disease, clinically and from complementary studies
- 42. **Hypertensive**: in case of history of hypertension
- 43. Valvular: in case of moderate to severe valve disease
- 44. Chagas: confirmed by serology and in the presence of ECG and echocardiographic data suggestive of cardiomyopathy of that origin
- 45. Idiopathic: in dilated cardiomyopathy in the absence of coronary heart disease or the other etiologies described
- 46. **Noncompacted**: in the presence of sufficient amount of noncompacted myocardium to justify ventricular dysfunction. The sole presence of noncompacted myocardium in the echocardiogram is not enough to accept its etiological role
- 47. **Myocarditis**: in the case of history of suggestive clinical condition, and laboratory data or complementary methods that confirm it
- 48. Alcoholic: in dilated cardiomyopathy, with history of alcohol consumption >10 g daily or reversion of the condition with abstinence
- 49. **Tachycardiomyopathy**: in dilated cardiomyopathy, with sinus or supraventricular rhythm and persistently elevated heart rate, which is interpreted as cause and not consequence of heart failure
- 50. **Chemotherapy**: history of antineoplastic treatment considered responsible for ventricular dysfunction, especially anthracyclines and trastuzumab
- 51. Amyloidosis: in the presence of demonstrated cardiac amyloidosis by biopsy or complementary methods
- 52. Restrictive cardiomyopathy: echocardiographic or hemodynamic diagnosis
- 53. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: echocardiographic diagnosis
- 54. Non-filed: heart failure of undiagnosed cause
- 55. **Other**

Treatment

- 56. Low-sodium diet: indication of restricted sodium intake
- 57. **Physical activity**: supervised if indicated to be performed in a gym or institution under medical control, non-supervised, if indicated to be performed individually and without medical control (includes advice to walk at least 150 minutes daily)
- 58. Weight control: if indicated, it should be done at least once a week
- 59. Pharmacological treatment: mark each drug with either yes or no, and indicate the dose in the cases it corresponds
- 60. **Pacemaker**: it should be considered that two or more options can coexist when referring to the same device; for example, two-chamber pacemaker, resynchronization therapy and cardioverter defibrillator.

Reasons for no indication or use of resources

It should be taken into account that the questions on the topic do not imply value judgement: the non-use of certain diagnostic or therapeutic resource may have clear justification, or not exist in the opinion of the treating physician clear indication for its use. Thus, it is expected that each question is answered with the utmost precision, with an option in each case to point out reasons not considered in the questionnaire.

- 61. **Peptides and troponins**: differentiate between no indication, indication but lack of availability of the resource, and indication, with availability but lack of medical coverage.
- 62. **Chagas serology**: differentiate between not having performed it for not considering the etiological diagnosis plausible (diagnosis prior to Chagas cardiomyopathy, absence of epidemiological environment, preserved ejection fraction, absence of suspicion or presence of alternative diagnosis), or consider it necessary buy without availability
- 63. **Echocardiogram**: differentiate between no indication for considering unnecessary knowing the ventricular function in the patient to install adequate treatment, no indication for knowing the ventricular function by another method and indication but with difficulty in the implementation
- 64. ACEI, angiotensin antagonists, betablockers and aldosterone antagonists: differentiate between having indi-

cated them and having suspended treatment for some reason, not having indicated them for considering there is contraindication, and not having indicated them for considering that the indication does not apply to the patient. In all cases, an open option is added to the most usual reasons to explain the cause of the suspension or the contraindication.

- 65. Anticoagulation in AF: differentiate between considering it not indicated in the patient, or considering it indicated but unable to carry it out due to difficulty in the control, understand that there is high bleeding risk, economic difficulties or other reasons that might explain the open option
- 66. **Electrical therapy**: for each device differentiate between not considering its indication in the patient, considering that it is indicated but goes against the patient's refusal or there are difficulties with the medical coverage.

Follow-up

Cancer

ves

no

Every 6 months until at least 12 months since inclusion in the study.

Define whether the contact is personal or by telephone. Define whether there has been hospitalization for heart failure or another cause and whether the patient has died. In the latter case, try to characterize the cause of death (if not possible, indicate non-filed) and the date.

PHYSICIAN FILE PERSONAL DATA SAC FAC Code (district+physician): - - / - - / Name and Surname initials: Address:Province.: Phone: Sex: Male Female Date of birth: -- /-- /----Graduation year: ___ Specialty: Internal Medicine Cardiology Heart Failure Other Did vou do a medical residency Yes No You develop your activity in: Private office Institutional office Both If you develop your activity in a center, does it have residency? Yes No Are you guided by guidelines and/or consensuses in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with heart failure? Yes No If the answer is yes, which? ACC/AHA Local guidelines Other European PATIENT FILE Current Visit Date: -- /---PERSONAL DATA SAC FAC Códe (district+physician+patient): --/--Name and Surname initials: Phone: Sex: Male Female **Date of birth**: -- /-- /----Chagas endemic zone yes no doesn't know Maximum educational level: Illiterate Primary Secondary Tertiary Worker: Active Social Plan Unemployed **Retired/Pensioner** Housewife **Properties:** Both None of the two House Car **Medical Coverage**: Private Social Security Prepaid PAMI Provincial PROFE None 1. Personal history a) cardiovascular Smoking Diabetes Ι Π HTN ves no no ex ves no Chest pain yes Prior infarct no no yes Coronary angioplasry yes no Myocardial revascularization surgery yes no Moderate-severe valve disease current yes no Which? Ao Stenosis Ao Regurg Miit Stenosis Mitral Regurg Valvular Surgery yes no Peripheral arteriopathy Stroke/TIA ves no ves no b) non cardiovascular Dementia Depression yes no yes no Thyroid dysfunction hyper no hypo COPD-asthma Creatinine > 1.5 mg/dl or KF ves no yes no Dialysis yes no

Chemotherapy

ves no

c) Heart failure					
Usual FC I II III IV Evolution time (months)					
Prior hospitalization for heart failure yes no					
d) Number of hospitalizations in the last 12 months					
For heart failure Another CV cause Non-CV cause					
2. Physical examination. ECG. chest X-ray					
BP/ HR Congestion yes no Hypoperfusion yes no					
Weight Height					
Last ECG (date)//					
Rhythm: sinus AF A flutter Pacemaker					
PR (ms) QRS width (ms) Pathological Q yes no LVH yes no					
LBBB yes no RBBB yes no LAHB yes no					
Chest X-ray yes no $W/H \text{ ratio} \ge 0.50$ yes no					
3. Last laboratory (date/)					
HematocritHb (g %)WBC (per mm3)Plasma glucose (mg%)					
Urea (mg%)Creatinine (mg%) Sodium (meq/l)Potassium (meq/l)					
Uric acid (mg%)Cholesterol (mg%)Albumin (g/%)Total bilirubin (mg%)					
NT proBNP (pg/ml) BNP (pg/ml) Troponin T or I (mU/l)					
Serology for Chagas not performed negative 1 test positive 2 tests positive					
4. Echocardiogram					
Echocardiogram yes no Date of the last echocardiogram					
Function Preserved Mild moderate severe impairment					
Segmental disorders yes no LA dilation yes no Hypertrophy yes no					
Diastolic dysfunction not assessed mild moderate severe					
Shortening fraction (%)Ejection fraction (%)					
5. Other studies in the last two years					
Echo stress ves no Perfusion Thalium- MIBI ves no					
GXT yes no 6-minute walk yes no					
O2 consumption yes no Holter yes no Magnetic Resonance					
Angio CT yes no CTA yes no Endomyocardial biopsy yes no					
¿Does the patient have a study (regardless of the date) confirming or ruling out coronary heart disease? Yes no Which?					
6. Etiological diagnosis:					
Ischemic HTN Valvular Chagas Idiopathic Non-compacted					
Myocarditis Alcoholic Tachycardiomyopathy Chemotherapy					
Amyloidosis Restrictive cardiomyopathy Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy Other Non-filed					
7 Treatment					
a) General measures					
Low-sodium diet: general indication specific indication not indicated					
Physical activity: supervised non-supervised not indicated					
Weight control indications: yes no					
b) Usual pharmacological treatment					

OFFICE IC AR / Jorge Thierer et al.

Drug	Yes	No	Dose/day (mg)	Drug	Yes	No	Dose/day (mg)
Furosemide				Hydralazine			
Thiazide				Nitrites			
Spironolactone				Amiodarone			
Eplerenone				Ivabradine			
Amiloride				Aspirin			
Digitalis				Thienopyridine			
ACEI (which?)				Statins (which?)			
ARBs (which?)				OHA (which)			
Beta blockers (which?)				Calcium antag. (which)			
Sacubitril-VTN				Sildenafil			
Levothyroxine				Trimetazidine			
Insulin				Allopurinol			
Erythropoietin				NSAIDs			
Oral iron				Oral potassium			
Anxiolytics				Antidepresants			
Corticoids				Non-amiodarone AA			

Flu vaccine Pneumococcal vaccine yes no yes no Oral anticoagulation: acenocoumarol warfarin dabigatran rivaroxaban apixaban no c) Electrical therapy Pacemaker: ves no Which?: Single chamber yes no Dual chamber ves no Resynchronization therapy ves no Defibrillator yes no

8. Reasons for no indication or use of diagnosis or treatment resources

a) If your patient does NOT have natriuretic peptide or troponin assessments, this is due to:

	Natriuretic peptides	Troponin
It is not considered necessary		
It is considered necessary but there is no availability		
It is considered necessary but it is not acknowledged by the medical coverage		

Other

b) If your patient does NOT have Chagas serology, this is due to:

Considers that it is not necessary, due to: Prior diagnosis of Chagas cardiomyopathy absence of epidemiological environment Preserved ejection fraction absence of other suspicion criteria Presence of an alternative diagnosis Considers it is necessary but there is no availability Another reason

c) If your patient does NOT have an echocardiogram, this is due to:

No availability or difficult access Has ventricular function assessment by another method Does not consider knowing the ventricular function necessary

d) If your patient does NOT receive ACEI or ARBs:

Indicated and had to be suspended Contraindication Considers that there is no indication In any of the first two cases, the cause was: Hypotension yes no Kidney dysfunction yes no Cough yes no Other, which?.....

e) If your patient does NOT receive betablocker	s:						
Indicated and had to be suspended Contraindication	Considers that there is no indication						
In any of the first two cases, the cause was:							
Hypotension yes no Bradycardia	yes no						
Conduction disorders yes no HF progression	yes no Other, which?						
f) If your patient does NOT receive spironolacto	one-eplerenone:						
Indicated and had to be suspended Contraindication	Considers that there is no indication						
In any of the first two cases, the cause was:	ie cause was:						
Kidney dysfunction yes no Hyperkalemia	yes no Gynecomastia yes no Other, which?						
g) If your natient does NOT receive sacubitril v	alsartan						
Indicated and had to be suspended Contraindication	Considers that there is no indication						
Indicated but has difficulties with the medical coverage							
In any of the first two cases, the cause was:							
Hypotension yes no Kidney dysfunction ye	s no Angioedema yes no						
6 If your potient he AF and is NOT entire could	tad this is due to.						
Considers it is not negossary	tea, this is all to:						
Considers a high blooding risk Economic difficu	lty Other which?						
	ity Other, which:						
g) If your patient does NOT have an ICD, the ca	nuse is:						
Considers there is no indication Indicat	ed but has difficulties with medical coverage						
Patient refusal Other, which?	0						
h) If your patient does NOT have resynchroniza	tion therapy, the cause is:						
Considers there is no indication Indicat	ed but has difficulties with medical coverage						
Fatient refusai Other, which:							
9. Follow-up							
a) 6 months							
Date Personal contact Telephe	one contact						
Hospitalization for heart failure yes no I	Date						
Hospitalization for another cause yes no I	Date						
Death yes no Date							
Sudden HF progression Another CV cause	Non-CV cause Non-filed cause						
h) 12 months							
Date Personal contact Telepho	one contact						
Hospitalization for heart failure ves no I	Date						
Hospitalization for another cause yes no I	Date						
Death yes no Date							
Sudden HF progression Another CV cause	Non-CV cause Non-filed cause						
c) 18 months							
Date Personal contact Telepho							
Hospitalization for neart failure yes no L	Date						
Hospitalization for another cause yes no 1	Jate						
Sudden HF progression Another CV cause	Non-CV cause Non-filed cause						
succession monitor of cause							
d) 24 months							
Date Personal contact Telepho	one contact						
Hospitalization for heart failure yes no I	Jate						
Hospitalization for another cause yes no I	Jate						
Sudden HF progression Another CV cause	Non-CV cause Non-filed cause						